

January 2015 Questionnaire

Housing Survey

Respondent Reference Number	Postcode	Age	Gender	Response
001/JAN15	TA5 2HL	20 - 60	Female	I live in East Street and did not oppose the application for new houses in Gurney Street. I thought it was fine because they were providing parking places. Growth is inevitable.
002/JAN15	TA5 2RL	20 - 60	Female	Don't know what the existing village development boundary is? Is this published somewhere? More parking spaces definitely required for any new housing. Garages should be of adequate size.
004JAN15		Over 60	N/A	Such a shame short term parking cannot be improved but we cant suggest any wonderful solution, some clever person might
005JAN15	TA5 2RJ	20 - 60		I don't agree with sticking strictly to the development boundary. There is a need for more housing n a large village like Cannington. If this means the odd house or small development outside the boundary then it should be considered equally as it were inside. We cannot stick our heads in the sand.
006JAN15	TA5 2JY	20 - 60	Male	What about affordable housing for those with a connection to the village?
007JAN15		20 - 60	Female	Yes agree
009JAN15	TA5 2HH	Over 60	Female	Parking in Cannington is a problem
010JAN15	TA5 2LY	Over 60	Female	Parking of cars is a huge problem and needs to be addressed when new houses are planned. Low cost family/singles housing is needed for younger people 18+. Residential care home/independent living would be an asset for those elderly wanting to remain in Cannington
011JAN15	TA5 2NW	20 - 60	Male	Although all for moving on and providing improved facilities for all our villagers, I feel the size of our village is just about right, not too big to lose that friendly feel and not too small. I agree that any further residential development should be kept where possible within the village boundary. This should mean that business of all types are supported. It would be good to see some modern housing but appreciate that they should be 'in keeping' where necessary.

012JAN15	TA5	Over 60	Male	I agree adequate parking is essential, the existing boundary should be maintained. New housing should add to the architectural aspect (?couldn't read this word) of the village, no square boxes. We need more houses in the £250 - £400k price range to bring wealth into the village.
013JAN15	TA5 2LX	Over 60	N/A	Keeping development within the present boundaries
014JAN15	TA5 2HE	Over 60	Male	We can create more parking; it requires will and real effort. Completion of by-pass will help. (<i>All policies mentioned are ticked</i>)
016/JAN15	-	20 - 60	N/A	All new housing to stay within present village boundaries (bypass, flood scheme). Too many in the village don't want any change to the present this was proved with the development in Southbrook (Pophmas Field).
017/JAN15	TA5 2HW	20 - 60	Male	1. Good idea. 2. Yes you could, more parking could & should be created especially on High Street, Bowling Green & Dukes Avenue. 3. Ok - self build would be good. 4. Good ideas, but enforce it. 5. Encourage & support community self build idea.
018/JAN15	TA5 2HP	Over 60	Female	Adequate parking is a priority. No point in a design brief as the village is a hotch-potch of development designs over the years.
019/JAN15	TA5 2QH	Over 60	Male	I have seen the effects of over development else where and the loss of environment and local ambience can be detrimental to a community so do all within your powers to maintain these assets.
020/JAN15	TA5 2JT	20 - 60	Female	We can't keep having cheap housing otherwise the balance of quality housing versus housing associations will tilt the wrong way, never to be returned back & long term will not benefit the rest of the village/future generations. Too much low income also brings gradually lower standards/poor appearance & then bad habits.
021/JAN15	TA5 2HD	Over 60	Male	I agree with the first 2 statements. I agreed with the 3rd but consideration must be given to the effect on Cannington village. The 4th is jargon but I would hope any development would reflect the existing Cannington character with emphasis on the vernacular aspect.
022/JAN15	TA5 2LU	Over 60	Male	Exiting village boundary totally protected no creeping joining up with Bridgwater e.g. North Petherton
023/JAN15	TA5 2HG	Over 60		A proper parking lot for local and visiting people
024/JAN15	TA5 2RU	Over 60		I agree with the above proposals
025/JAN15	TA5 2HU	20 - 60	Female	We need more housing similar to the Brownings Estate with different sizes of property and more than adequate parking! I want my children to continue to live in the village but with limited housing and rising prices I fear we may be pushed into moving into town. We moved from Bridgwater because Cannington is such a lovely village and school.

026/JAN15	TA5 2HP	20 - 60	Male	No more housing too many people ?? No more people in village less population less crime
027/JAN15	TA5 2JR	Over 60		Good
028/JAN15	TA5 2JR	Over 60		Just do what you can (above) within your limited boundaries. This is a lovely village, I would hate to see it abused by people with agendas
029/JAN15	TA5 2HW	Over 60		All housing development should be built around the bypass that way you keep Cannington clear, every housing development should have a mix of houses not like large houses on one estate by Northbook. The Council have only allowed this estate as it suits them because they live near it and increases this property prices, you notice there no social housing on this estate which speaks for itself.
030/JAN15	TA5 2HB	Over 60	Female	I think that this is a village at the moment and would hate to see a development like North Petherton which is now joined up with Bridgwater. Do we want to remain as a village or not is the question.
031/JAN15	TA5 2LX	Over 60	Male	Repeating my earlier note protect as Green Wedge, land north of cemetery and west of Chads Hill bordering new by-pass.
032/JAN15	TA5	20 - 60	Male	We could alleviate the parking issues around the Brook by putting down grasscrete in Jubilee Gardens. Promote affordable housing to encourage young families to remain in Cannington.
033/JAN15		20 - 60		Its very important to keep existing village development boundaries. Parking should be a priority as all families now have a car.
035/JAN15		Over 60	Male	This village has had many problems with traffic and parking. Future development should be on the edge of the village. This would ensure free & safe access from the bypass.
036/JAN15	TA52LX	Over 60	Female	Keep the existing development boundary and do not lose agricultural land.
037/JAN15	TA5	20 - 60	Female	Adequate parking for new homes is essential. But sensible, look at Reedmoor in Bridgwater. The roads are curved with very spaces, and there are lots of unhappy people and parking wars. The parking needs to be really thought about - you can't build a four bed house with a drive for one car.
038/JAN15	TA5 2HH	20 - 60	Male	All of the above policies make perfect sense and should not be taken for granted and enforced where possible.
039/JAN15	TA5 2HP	Over 60	Male	Agree with first two policies. Agrees with policy three but not "affordable housing". Agrees with policy four but any such brief should not favour "affordable housing" and should include more expensive housing to encourage more residents with middle class ambitions.
040/JAN15	TA5 2RJ	Over 60	Male	See earlier comment - any development should ensure that existing services are adequate or increased to ensure adequate cover i.e. Health, education, roads.

041/JAN15	TA5 2LU	20 - 60	Male	I strongly believe that the village should retain its existing boundary. I am sure the new road will encourage some to wish to expand out and fill in to develop the land to the new road. This should be strongly resisted. I struggle with the concept of "social housing" as it seems we cannot limit this to house young people from the village in need and has attracted some issues to date. We need to retain the character of the village as a village.
042/JAN15	TA5 2HB	Over 60		Yes
043/JAN15	TA5 2HU	Over 60	Male	You give permission to 16 houses and garages on a site that could be more good to the community. A new village hall with plenty of parking.
044/JAN15	TA5 2RH	Over 60	Male	Housing - there is a need for affordable & accessible housing for future generations of Cannington residents, not concentrating on "executive" homes.
045/JAN15		Over 60	Female	Parking outside the Spar shop is dangerous every time. People park on the zig zags. Move the pedestrian crossing to opposite the College gates to the very wide pavement on the corner of the Bowling Green. Create short parking time lay-bys for shoppers on both side of the street, the pavements are and the road is wide enough. Executive style houses to be encouraged with treed gardens. Opportunities for self-build Eco-Homes. Two storey 1 or 2 bed apartments for those over 65 years of age alongside terrace home with same amount of bedrooms for start buyers. All unnecessary vehicles and heavy vans and lorries to use the bypass when it is finished. Shop deliveries to Bakery and Butcher to be before 10am but not at school arrival time. Shop delivery vehicles to only park along the Main road next to Jubilee Gardens beyond pedestrian crossing. The lay-by outside the Bakery and Butchers for customers only.